CLA Annual Conference · Student Prizes

Below are the criteria to be evaluated by the judges as

6: Exceptional	3: Good
5: Excellent	2: Adequate
4: Very good	1: Mediocre

Oral presentations

1) **Introduction**: *How well was the paper introduced, and the research motivated? Is the research question clear?*

2) **Treatment of data**: *Were the data well described?*

3) **Theoretical depth and interest**: *Was the theory well presented?*

4) **Originality**: *Were the data and/or approach noteworthy for their originality?*

5) **Conclusion**: *How well did the data and argumentation support the conclusions reached?*

6) **Visual presentation (handout/ slides)**: *How would you rate the handout/ slides? Is it/are they well edited, appealing, thorough? Did it/they facilitate understanding the presentation?*

7) **Oral delivery**: *Was the oral delivery effective? Interesting? Was the Question-and-Answer period handled skillfully?*

Posters

1) **Title and introduction**: *How well was the poster titled and introduced, and the research motivated? Was the research question clear?*

2) **Treatment of data**: *Were the data well described/displayed?*

3) **Theoretical depth and interest**: *Was the theory well presented?*

4) **Originality**: *Were the data and/or approach noteworthy for their originality?*

5) **Conclusion**: *How well did the data and argumentation support the conclusions reached?*

6) **Overall appearance of poster**: *How well was the poster prepared and designed?*

7) **Discussion**: *Evaluate the summary presentation and engagement with the audience in the Question-and-Answer period.*