## Slavic meets Semitic: Nominal functional categories as underspecified heads

Ivona Kučerová (McMaster University) & Adam Szczegielniak

The Slavic diminutive (DIM) morpheme (e.g., Czech -ek.M.SG, -ka.F.SG, -ko.N.SG etc.; henceforth, **K**) is homophonous with different morphemes: E.g., in Czech, the morpheme -ka is ambiguous between a DIM derived from a feminine (FEM) noun [jam-ka 'pit.F.SG-.K:F.SG; a small hole], a morpheme deriving socio-biological FEM from a masculine (MASC) noun [diplomat 'diplomat.M.SG' → diplomat-ka 'diplomat-K:F.SG, a female diplomat'], a nominalizer [sodová (voda) 'soda.ADJ (water)' → sodov-ka 'soda-K:F.SG, pop'], and a group forming morpheme [dvě děvčata 'two girls'  $\rightarrow$  dvoj-ka děvčat 'two-**K**:F.SG girls.GEN, a group of two girls']. In addition, DIMs can yield a degree interpretation, and obtain additional pragmatic readings (affection etc.). Strikingly, the feminine morpheme (F) in Semitic, e.g., in Moroccan and Levantine Arabic (LA), and Hebrew, displays a parallel range of interpretations, with two important differences: F individuates [e.g., in LA: samak 'fish'  $\rightarrow$  samak-i 'fish-**F**:SG, a unit of fish'], and cannot be a nominalizer. We argue that functional/interpretational variability in the nominal domain maps to PF uniformity via class of underspecified functional heads (Borer 2005), whose functional interpretation is determined by their syntactic position and environment (i\* of Wood and Marantz 2015). The underlying syntactic underspecification triggers uniform PF realization despite varied syntactic/semantic behaviour, modulo independent differences of the surrounding nominal structures which account for the variation between Slavic and Semitic. Specifically, the proposal builds on work arguing for a connection between gender and DIM as classifiers (Zabbal 2002, Fassi Fehri 2003, Borer 2005), and argues that K and F are morphological realizations of a feature bundle corresponding to a nominalizing head (n), which is primarily based on gender. Different functions and interpretations arise from different attachments sites of n in the extended nominal domain, instead of a series of semantically specified functional heads (e.g., Fassi Fehri 2016, 2018a,b), or distinct morphemes (e.g., Borer & Ouwayda 2010). [For reasons of space, the following analysis mostly abstracts away from gender.] **Technically:** CATEGORY CHANGE: Slavic  $n_K$  merges with the previously merged root and category head:  $[n_K \text{ [CAT } \sqrt{root}]]$ . For this to obey structural economy, the new projection must differ from the primary merge one: a category change satisfies the economy condition. In contrast, Semitic roots are category-neutral (Arad 2003) and genderless (Kramer 2014). Analogically, there is no categorys-specific functional root that could yield a category change. DIMINUTIVES: We argue that the default interpretation of the light noun formation ( $[n_{K/F} \text{ [CAT } \sqrt{root}]]$ ) is a DIM, construed as a bound interpretation (akin to nominal aspect). PRAGMATIC READINGS: A double DIM formation obeys structural economy only if it yields additional interpretations (Sichel & Wiltschko 2018). Double DIM thus yields a degree (based on the bounded nominal interpretation) or a pragmatic interpretation (affection). BIO-SOCIOLOGICAL GENDER: Valued gender can come to the derivation as an interpretable feature on D (Steriopolo & Wiltschko 2008, Kučerová 2018, Sigurðsson 2019), and agree with the unvalued gender of  $n_{K/F}$ , deriving the bio-sociological gender of K and F. GROUP FORMATION: The light noun configuration offers itself to a partitive-like function, yielding a group reading. Semitic nominals can lack the individuation layer: when  $n_F$ attaches to a non-individuated structure, the interpretation must be that of the whole. In Slavic, a group interpretation arises in the context of structures that lack individuation, e.g., quantifiers. INDIVIDUATION: Semitic has a class of genderless unindividuated nominals. When  $n_F$  attaches to the primary merge of such a nominal, the interface interprets F as an individuating functional head (Borer's DIV). This interpretation is absent in Slavic because the equivalent of a Number projection is always present, and the individuating interpretation is excluded by structural economy.