The syntactic properties of group classifiers and individual classifiers in Mandarin

Peng Qiu University of Calgary

INTRODUCTION: To refer to a specific quantity of an entity, Mandarin requires a classifier between the numeral and the noun. A group classifier, such as *qun*, is used for counting groups of entities (e.g., *san qun xuesheng* 'three groups of students'), while an individual classifier, such as *ge*, is used for counting atomic entities (e.g., *san ge xuesheng* 'three students'). However, when other elements are also present in the noun phrase, such as the plural marker *-men* or the modifying marker *de* which links modifiers and head nouns, the two types of classifiers have different distributions. In particular, a group classifier is compatible with *-men* (1), whereas an individual classifier is not; and a group classifier can be immediately followed by *de* (2), whereas an individual classifier cannot.

```
(1) CL+ plural marker -men
                                                       (2) CL+ modifying marker de
         qun/(*ge)
                                                                      qun/(*ge)
san
                                 xuesheng-men
                                                             san
                                                                                             de
                                                                                                    xuesheng
                                                                      CL_{\text{group}}\!/CL_{\text{individual}}
         CL_{group}/CL_{individual}
                                 student-PL
                                                             three
                                                                                                    student
'three groups of students/*three students'
                                                             'three groups of students/*three students'
```

PROPOSAL: In the underlying structures, both types of classifiers belong to the same syntactic category, Div(ision), because they have the same interpretative function. However, they differ in their feature specifications: Group classifiers have the feature [GROUP], while individual classifiers have the feature [ATOMIC]. As a consequence of their different featural make-ups, these two types of classifiers select different complements, and this accounts for their (in-)compatibility with the plural marker *-men* and the modifying marker *de*.

THE UNDERLYING STRUCTURES: To account for the fact that group and individual classifiers occur between the numeral and the noun, I assume Borer's (2005) structure for noun phrases in classifier languages:

(3) [DP (definite) [#P numeral [DivP classifier [NP N]]]]

Following Borer (2005), I assume that classifiers are based-generated in Div, which is the locus of linguistic objects that perform the function of individuation.

GROUP CLASSIFIER + -men: To account for the compatibility of a group classifier and -men, I develop an analysis based on Massam's (2009) modification of the structure in (3). Massam proposes that there is optionally an additional category between Div and N, which is a Coll(ective) Phrase, and that plural markers can Merge in the head of CollP:

(4) [DP (definite) [#P numeral [DivP classifier [CollP plural marker [NP N]]]]]

I argue that the Mandarin plural marker *-men* is Coll, and that it has an uninterpretable [GROUP] feature. The contrast in (1) is due to the fact that group classifiers have an interpretable [GROUP] feature but individual classifiers do not. This interpretable [GROUP] feature is compatible with a CollP complement with an uninterpretable [GROUP] feature on its head: *-men* is exactly this type of Coll. The incompatibility of *-men* and individual classifiers is attributed to the fact that the latter has the feature [ATOMIC], and hence cannot check *-men*'s uninterpretable [GROUP] feature.

GROUP CLASSIFIER + de: To account for the incompatibility of an individual classifier and de, I propose that de, like -men, is a Coll head, and is only selected by group classifiers with the interpretable feature [GROUP]. This straightforwardly captures the fact that de is incompatible with -men. The incompatibility of de and individual classifiers is attributed to the fact that the latter has the feature [ATOMIC], which cannot check uninterpretable features on Collective heads.

SIGNIFICANCE: This proposal provides a synthesis of different analyses that have each approached, but never quite achieved, a complete account of how different Mandarin classifiers are fundamentally constructed and interact with other projections. In doing so, it advances our understanding of the relationship between classifiers and other elements in the same nominal structures.

References

- Borer, Hagit. 2005. In Name Only. Oxford & New York: Oxford University Press.
- Cheng, Lisa L.-S. 1986. de in Mandarin. Canadian Journal of Linguistics/Revue Canadienne De Linguistique 31(4):313–326.
- Cheng, Lisa L.-S., and Rint Sybesma. 1998. Yi-wan tang, yi-ge tang: Classifiers and massifiers. *Tsing Hua journal of Chinese studies* 28(3): 385–412.
- Cheng, Lisa L.-S., and Rint Sybesma. 1999. Bare and non-so-bare nouns and the structure of NP. *Linguistic Inquiry* 30(4): 509–542.
- Cheng, Lisa L.-S., and Rint Sybesma. 2005. Classifiers in four varieties of Chinese. *The Oxford Handbook of Comparative Syntax*, ed. By Guglielmo Cinque & Richard S. Kayne, 259–292. Oxford & New York: Oxford University Press.
- Chierchia, Gennaro. 1998a. Reference to kinds across languages. *Natural Language Semantics* 6(4): 339–405.
- Chierchia, Gennaro. 1998b. Plurality of mass nouns and the notion of "semantic parameter". *Events and Grammar*, ed. By Susan Rothstein, 53–103. Dordrecht & Boston: Kluwer.
- Huang, C.-T. James, Y.-H. Andrey Li, and Yafei Li. 2009. *The syntax of Chinese*. Cambridge University Press.
- Huang, Longcan. 2020. *Modification with de in Mandarin Chinese*. Kansas: University of Kansas dissertation.
- Jiang, Li Julie.2017. Mandarin associative plural -men and NPs with -men. International Journal of Chinese Linguistics 4(2):191–256.
- Li, Y.-H. Andrey. 1998. Argument determiner phrase and number phrases. *Linguistic Inquiry* 29(4):693–702.
- Li, Y.-H. Andrey. 1999. Plurality in a classifier language. *Journal of East Asian Linguistics* 8(1):75–99.
- Lin, Yi-An. 2010. The *de*-marked modification structure in Mandarin Chinese. *Proceedings of the 22nd North American Conference on Chinese Linguistics (NACCL-22) and the 18th International Conference on Chinese Linguistics (IACL-18)* 2: 254–270.
- Massam, Diane. 2009. On the Separation and Relatedness of Classifiers, Number, and Individuation in Niuean. *LANGUAGE AND LINGUISTICS* 10(4): 669–699.
- Ross, Claudia. 1983. ON THE FUNCTIONS OF MANDARIN DE/论"De"在汉语中的功能. *Journal of Chinese Linguistics* 11(2): 214–246.
- Tang, Chih-Chen Jane. 2005. Nouns or classifiers: a non-movement analysis of classifiers in Chinese. *Language and Linguistics* 6(3):431–472.
- Zhang, Niina Ning. 2013. Classifier Structures in Mandarin Chinese. Berlin: De Gruyter Mouton.