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Below are the criteria to be evaluated by the judges as

6: Exceptional  3: Good
5: Excellent    2: Adequate
4: Very good    1: Mediocre

**Oral presentations**

1) **Introduction**: How well was the paper introduced, and the research motivated? Is the research question clear?

2) **Treatment of data**: Were the data well described?

3) **Theoretical depth and interest**: Was the theory well presented?

4) **Originality**: Were the data and/or approach noteworthy for their originality?

5) **Conclusion**: How well did the data and argumentation support the conclusions reached?

6) **Visual presentation (handout/ slides)**: How would you rate the handout/ slides? Is it/are they well edited, appealing, thorough? Did it/they facilitate understanding the presentation?

7) **Oral delivery**: Was the oral delivery effective? Interesting? Was the Question-and-Answer period handled skillfully?

**Posters**

1) **Title and introduction**: How well was the poster titled and introduced, and the research motivated? Was the research question clear?

2) **Treatment of data**: Were the data well described/displayed?

3) **Theoretical depth and interest**: Was the theory well presented?

4) **Originality**: Were the data and/or approach noteworthy for their originality?

5) **Conclusion**: How well did the data and argumentation support the conclusions reached?

6) **Overall appearance of poster**: How well was the poster prepared and designed?

7) **Discussion**: Evaluate the summary presentation and engagement with the audience in the Question-and-Answer period.